


Montana 500 Newsletter

Apr - Jun 2010

Volume 10 no. 2



Montana Cross Country T Assn.
1004 Sioux Road
Helena, MT 59602

www.montana500.org

2009 Officers and Directors:

President: Mike Robison
Vice President: Tony Cerovski
Secretary: Jillian Robison
Treasurer: Janet Cerovski

Directors:
Rick Carnegie 2010
Dave Robison 2010
Tom Carnegie 2011
Doug Langel 2011
Janet Cerovski 2009
Mark Hutchinson 2009
Mike Robison 2011
Tony Cerovski 2010
Jillian Robison 2010

Meeting Secretary: Jillian Robison
Correspondence: Tom Carnegie
Newsletter Editor: Tom Carnegie

Membership dues \$10.00
Touring class: \$25.00
Endurance runner: \$35.00

Cover picture: Five-time Montana 500 winner Bud Peters.

EDITOR'S PROPAGANDA

Tom Carnegie

Well, this should get to you just about as you are ready to leave to head for North Dakota. There isn't much news. Check the website for last minute updates. We have a tour planned in Missoula on Thursday, after the run. A few people called to let us know that they were not coming. Ed Towe indicates that he still plans to attend (but not drive).

In Spokane we had a 100 mile, or so "shake-down" run. We had nine T's, and they all did fairly well. We got a new motor shoved into Mike Cuffe's T. Speaking of Mike Cuffe, I'm going to take this opportunity, as editor to editorialize. As editor I endorse Mike Cuffe for Montana State representative.

PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE

Mike Robison

(This space reserved for the president's message.)

Driver's Profile

Lester "Bud" Peters

By Tom Carnegie

Bud Peters of Ledger, MT. first entered the Montana 500 in 1963 and didn't miss many times after that. He ran every year from 1963 through 1994. 1998 was the last time Bud entered. In 1981 Bud got his first top five finish and finished in the top five most years after that. Bud won his first time in 1982. Between then and 1993, Bud won four more times, making him the only five time winner of the open division.

Bud spent most of his life in the Ledger area. During WWII, Bud was in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. After his discharge he started working on farms around the Ledger area. When his parents retired, Bud began farming the Peter's farm. I visited Bud this summer and one of the things he showed me was his first grain storage shed, which he hand made by nailing two-by-fours one on top of the other to make solid four-inch thick walls. There were now several metal storage bins to replace the hand made one. The hand made one was full of T parts (of course).

Most people who knew Bud knew him as a fun and funny, witty man. He also was a musician. He told me that he liked to go to the retirement home and play his accordion for his friend Dave Ratzburg.


Bud had a huge impact on the Montana 500 and many of the policies and rules are the direct or indirect result of his input.

This March, Bud passed away. He will be missed very much by all of his friends.


Perhaps More Than You Wanted To Know About The
Model T Ford Ignition System
by Tom Carnegie
Part Three

In parts one and two I described the operation of the coil on D.C. and A.C. Part three shows what happens when the timer is thrown into the circuit.

Operating a coil on a steady AC voltage is a common way to test and set up a coil. In reality, the model T coil never encounters this situation. The timer just takes a little chunk out of the voltage waveform, and applies that chunk to the coil. Where the timer “turns on” in relation to the potential available will determine when the spark will occur.



In normal operation, the flywheel spins around to produce voltage. When the timer closes, current will begin to flow in the coil. Where the timer closes the circuit in relation to the voltage waveform has quite an effect on how the coil will respond. I will show some graphs of the subsequent amp waveforms that result from the timer closing the circuit at various times. When the timer closes at “point 1” of the graph shown in fig. 1 above, it may come as close to duplicating a hand-cranked coil tester as is possible with a timer. The timer is closing right at the “null” or zero point of the waveform.



The graph shown in fig. 2 corresponds to the timer closing at “point 1” on the voltage graph (fig. 1). In this and the following examples the flywheel is turning about 1100 rpm. At this speed each ms (x axis) is about 6.5 degrees. The timer closes at 7.1ms, which is near the null point of the voltage waveform. The amperage then builds to about negative 5 amps, at which point the coil fires at about


8.9ms. In this example we'll say that 8.9ms occurs 18.5 degrees before TDC (which is more or less, but not exactly correct). You will notice that the current rises to well over 5 amps, yet as shown above, the current barely rises above 4 amps when powered by a hand-cranked coil tester. This is because when the current is triggered by a timer, the coil doesn't "know" that it is being powered by AC. All the coil "sees" is a rising voltage. Until the voltage switches polarity (which it doesn't in this situation) the coil is effectively being operated on DC. The timer in this situation is in fact operating as a mechanical rectifier.

Time to fire: 1.8ms which equals 11.5 degrees.

Absolute fire time: 18.5 degrees BTDC

Timer advance from null point: 0 degrees

Spark advance from starting point: 0 degrees




The graph shown in fig. 3 corresponds to the timer closing at "point 2" on the voltage graph shown in fig. 1. The timer has been advanced about 5 degrees and closes at

about 6.3ms, which is about half way between the null point and the positive peak of the voltage waveform. The amperage builds to about 1 amp, which is not enough to fire the coil. The amperage then begins to build negative and the coil fires when the amperage reaches about negative 5 amps at about 9.1ms. 9.1ms occurs 17.2 degrees BTDC . The timer is advanced 5 degrees from the previous example, yet the spark has retarded 1.3 degrees. This is because the coil has to “undo” what it started to do. That is, the coil began to build positive, but ultimately fired negative, so the time spent building positive voltage was wasted.

Time to fire: 2.8 ms which equals 18 degrees.
Absolute fire time: 17.2 degrees BTDC
Timer advance from starting point: 5 degrees
Spark advance from starting point: -1.3 degrees


The graph shown in fig. 4 corresponds to the timer closing near “point 3” on the voltage graph shown in fig. 1. The timer has been advanced 12.2 degrees and closes at 5.2ms. The amperage builds to a little over 3 amps, which is not enough to fire the coil. The amperage then begins to build



negative and the coil fires when the amperage reaches about negative 4 amps at about 9.2ms. 9.2ms occurs 16.5 degrees BTDC . The timer is advanced 12.2 degrees from the first example, yet the spark is retarded 2 degrees. This is the point of maximum disparity between timer advance and spark retard. Sometimes with the timer set at this point there will not be enough power in the mag to fire the coil from either the negative or the positive amp pulse. This is especially true if the mag is sub-par or the coils are poorly adjusted.

Time to fire: 4 ms, which equals 26 degrees.
Absolute fire time: 16.5 degrees BTDC
Timer advance from starting point: 12.2 degrees
Spark advance from starting point: -2 degrees


The graph in fig. 5 shows the timer advanced about 1 de-



gree from the graph in fig. 4. The timer has been advanced 13.5 degrees and closes at 5ms, which is right before the positive peak of the voltage waveform. The am-

perage builds to about 4 amps, which is just enough to fire the coil at 7ms. 7ms occurs 32.75 degrees BTDC . The timer is advanced 13.5 degrees from the first example and the spark is advanced 12.2 degrees. 1 degree of timer advance resulted in 13.2 degrees of spark advance. The coil is now firing on the positive pulse, but the spark has not quite caught up to the timer.

Time to fire: 2 ms, which equals 12.8 degrees.
Absolute fire time: 30.7 degrees BTDC
Timer advance from starting point: 13.5 degrees
Spark advance from starting point: 12.2 degrees




The graph shown in fig. 6 corresponds to the timer closing at “point 4” on the voltage graph (fig. 1). The timer has been advanced 15.5 degrees and closes at 4.7ms, which is between the positive peak and “null point” of the voltage waveform. The amperage builds to about 4 amps which fires the coil at about 6ms. The timer is advanced 2 de-

degrees from the previous example and the spark has advanced 5 degrees. Of all examples presented in this article, this one has the fastest time to fire. This is because when the timer closes the circuit, there is a large potential available. When the timer is in this position the spark has advanced more than the timer.

Time to fire: 1.3 ms, which equals 8.3 degrees.
Absolute fire time: 37 degrees BTDC
Timer advance from starting point: 15.5 degrees
Spark advance from starting point: 18.5 degrees

The graph in fig. 7 corresponds to the timer closing at “point 6” on the voltage graph (fig 1.). The timer has



been advanced 22.5 degrees and closes at 3.6 ms, which is near the null point of the voltage waveform. The amperage builds to about 6 amps, which fires the coil at 5.4ms. This is the same situation as the first graph in this series (fig. 2), except the voltage polarity is reversed.

Time to fire: 1.8ms, which equals 11.5 degrees.
Absolute fire time: 41 degrees BTDC
Timer advance from starting point: 22.5 degrees
Spark advance from starting point: 22.5 degrees
(end of article)

Montana Cross Country T Assn.
7516 E. Mission Ave.
Spokane Valley, WA 99212